Benefits of shielded sprayer technology in reducing residual herbicide losses on irrigated cane farms in the lower Burdekin

About this case study

A series of irrigated runoff trials tested two herbicide application methods (conventional Irvin leg and band spraying) for effects on water quality and weed control efficacy at several sugarcane farms in the lower Burdekin.

Herbicides were frequently lost with irrigation runoff in concentrations that pose a significant risk to local wetlands and waterways of the Burdekin region. The greatest losses occurred on furrow irrigated cane farms during the first irrigation after herbicide application.

Methods

Trials compared runoff of a range of currently regulated priority pre-emergent herbicides (incl. Diuron, atrazine), alternative pre-emergent herbicides (metribuzin, imazapic and metolachlor) and contact (knockdown) herbicides (glyphosate, 2,4-D, MCPA, paraquat), applied as either blanket or banded treatments. Residual herbicides (such as atrazine and Diuron) were only applied to the plant row in the banded treatments, with knockdown herbicides used in the furrows. Herbicide runoff loads were calculated in the first irrigation following herbicide application (when the majority of herbicide losses tend to occur).

Key findings

- Shielded (band) spraying of herbicides consistently offered significant reductions in both pre-emergent (residual) herbicide and total toxic load losses in irrigation runoff compared to conventional broadcast applications.
- Weed control efficacy did not vary between broadcast and shielded (band) sprayer treatments.

Implications for management

Shielded spraying appears to offer considerable environmental and agronomic benefits to cane growers. Collective Paddock to Reef Program results highlight that many farmers who achieve effective early weed control (in fallow and planting stages) can even shift entirely away from any residual herbicide application in later crop stages, instead relying solely on shielded sprayer application of knockdown herbicides for crop weed control.

Results also highlight the need for a carefully considered approach to integrating alternative herbicides (metribuzin, metolachlor) into improved pest management for the sugarcane industry. Using integrated weed management strategies that reduce rates of herbicide applied to paddocks in the long-term, while still achieving effective weed control is the safest strategy for minimising environmental risk.
Results

Results consistently showed that regardless of the specific herbicide used, band (shielded) spraying in furrow irrigated farming systems provided reductions in herbicide runoff. Pesticide load was reduced by as much as 80-90%; substantially exceeding anticipated rates. (Figure 1).

Surveyed growers responded that band spraying and alternative herbicide use had similar effectiveness to conventional applications of priority residual herbicides.
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About the Paddock to Reef program

Paddock monitoring trials are conducted as part of the Paddock to Reef Integrated Monitoring, Modelling and Reporting (Paddock to Reef) program. For more information on the Paddock to Reef program and case studies, including references, please visit www.reefplan.qld.gov.au.