

# Catchment monitoring methods

Reef Water Quality Report Card 2023–2025

Reef 2050 Water Quality Improvement Plan



© State of Queensland, 2026.

The Queensland Government supports and encourages the dissemination and exchange of its information. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



Under this licence you are free, without having to seek our permission, to use this publication in accordance with the licence terms. You must keep intact the copyright notice and attribute the State of Queensland as the source of the publication.

For more information on this licence, visit [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

*The Department of the Environment, Tourism, Science and Innovation acknowledges Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples as the Traditional Owners and custodians of the land. We recognise their connection to land, sea and community, and pay our respects to Elders past and present.*

*The department is committed to respecting, protecting and promoting human rights, and our obligations under the Human Rights Act 2019.*

### **Disclaimer**

This document has been prepared with all due diligence and care, based on the best available information at the time of publication. The government holds no responsibility for any errors or omissions within this document.

Any decisions made by other parties based on this document are solely the responsibility of those parties. Information contained in this document is from a number of sources and, as such, does not necessarily represent government or departmental policy.

The Queensland Government is committed to providing accessible services to Queenslanders from all culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. If you have difficulty in understanding or accessing this document, you can contact us for assistance and we will arrange for this publication to be made available in an alternative format.

### **Citation**

Australian and Queensland governments. 2026. Catchment monitoring methods, Reef Water Quality Report Card 2023–2025, State of Queensland, Brisbane.

# CONTENTS

|                                                                        |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Catchment monitoring methods.....                                      | 4  |
| Monitoring sites .....                                                 | 4  |
| Rainfall data .....                                                    | 4  |
| Water quality sampling .....                                           | 8  |
| River discharge data .....                                             | 8  |
| Water quality sample analysis.....                                     | 8  |
| Calculating nutrient and sediment loads .....                          | 10 |
| Calculating the Pesticide Risk Metric .....                            | 11 |
| Reporting on pesticides for the report card .....                      | 12 |
| Semiquantitative confidence rankings for reporting on pesticides ..... | 13 |
| Rationale for the confidence ranking .....                             | 13 |
| References.....                                                        | 15 |

# Catchment monitoring methods

This report summarises the methods undertaken by the Catchment Loads Monitoring Program that reports the catchment monitoring results required for the delivery of the Reef Water Quality Report Card 2023–2025. The Catchment Loads Monitoring Program provides data to the [Catchment Loads Modelling Program](#) to validate progress towards achieving the Reef 2050 Water Quality Improvement Plan (Australian and Queensland governments 2018) 2025 water quality targets. The Catchment Monitoring Program also delivers Pesticide Risk Condition calculations for comparison of the risk posed by pesticides for each basin, region and the whole of the Great Barrier Reef catchment area, with the Pesticide Risk Baseline and pesticide target.

The water quality targets are:

- 60% reduction in anthropogenic end-of-catchment dissolved inorganic nitrogen loads
- 20% reduction in anthropogenic end-of-catchment particulate nutrient loads
- 25% reduction in anthropogenic end-of-catchment fine sediments loads
- to protect at least 99% of aquatic species from pesticides at the end-of-catchments.

## Monitoring sites

Catchment water quality was measured at more than 60 sites across up to 25 major catchments that discharge to the Great Barrier Reef lagoon (Figure 1, 2, 3) during the 2022–2023, 2023–2024 and 2024–2025 monitoring years as part of an ongoing, long-term monitoring program. Water quality monitoring site numbers and locations vary slightly from year to year, due to various logistical, climatic and operational reasons.

Monitoring sites are classified as either end-of-catchment or sub-catchment sites. The end-of-catchment monitoring sites are located at the lowest point in a river or creek, where the discharge can be accurately measured, typically where gauging stations have been established and are being maintained by the Queensland Department of Local Government, Water and Volunteers (formerly Queensland Department of Natural Resources, Mines and Energy). Sub-catchment sites are located at the lowest point in a sub-catchment (tributary), mainly at existing gauging stations. Water quality samples collected at each monitoring site provide data related to land management activities in the catchment area upstream of the site. Both site types provide field data that are used to calibrate and validate catchment models.

Monitoring currently captures an estimated 92% of the total suspended solid load and 88% of the dissolved inorganic nitrogen load discharged to the Great Barrier Reef lagoon. Pesticides are monitored in all priority locations.

## Rainfall data

Rainfall totals and rainfall decile data comes from the Bureau of Meteorology National Climate Centre. These data are synthesised using geographic information system tools to display total annual rainfall and annual rainfall deciles for Queensland from 1 July to 30 June each year. The total annual rainfall and annual rainfall deciles provide contextual information regarding the state of the climate during the monitoring year and is described in detail in the Catchment Water Quality Alliance [Water Quality Monitoring Site Atlas](#).




Figure 1: Map showing the location of 2022–2023 monitoring year catchment monitoring program sites in the Paddock to Reef Integrated Monitoring, Modelling and Reporting Program.




Figure 2. Map showing the location of 2023–2024 monitoring year catchment monitoring program sites in the Paddock to Reef Integrated Monitoring, Modelling and Reporting Program.




Figure 3. Map showing the location of 2024–2025 monitoring year catchment monitoring program sites in the Paddock to Reef Integrated Monitoring, Modelling and Reporting Program.

## Water quality sampling

Water samples are collected, stored, transported and quality assured and quality controlled in accordance with the Environmental Protection (Water) [Policy Monitoring and Sampling Manual](#) (Queensland Department of Environment and Science 2018). Water quality samples are collected using two methods: manual grab sampling and automatic grab sampling using refrigerated pump samplers. Intensive sampling (daily or every few hours) is conducted during high flow events and monthly sampling is conducted during low or base-flow (ambient) conditions. For pesticides, intensive sampling (daily or every few hours) is similarly conducted during high flow events and weekly to monthly sampling is conducted during low or base-flow (ambient) conditions over the wet season. The Catchment Loads Monitoring Program treats the monitoring year as commencing on 1 July and concluding on 30 June each year. For the purpose of sampling pesticides, the standardised wet season (i.e. for assessing the main pesticide exposure period) commences with the first run-off event and continues for 182 days (6 months).

Where possible, total suspended solids, nutrients and pesticide samples were collected concurrently. Manual grab samples collected during low flow conditions, where sites are tidally influenced, were taken on the outgoing, low tide. Automatic grab samplers installed in tidal sites were activated during rainfall run-off events based on discharge measured with Horizontal Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers and conductivity and turbidity readings recorded *in situ*.

## River discharge data

The volume of water flowing in the rivers is calculated using one of four methods, depending on the location and data availability:

- discharge derived from height at existing gauging stations and extracted from Hydstra - the surface water database of the Department of Local Government, Water and Volunteers ([Water Monitoring Information Portal](#)) ([DLGWV 2023](#))
- 'time and flow factored'<sup>1</sup> measured discharge from existing Department of Regional Development, Manufacturing and Water gauging station(s)
- modelled flows generated using climatic and land use data in the Source Catchments modelling platform using the Sacramento rainfall runoff model, where the Parameter Estimation Tool (PEST) was coupled with Source for the calibration process or
- discharge calculated by the process of Empirical Dynamic Modelling (rEDM), primarily focusing on calculating discharge in estuaries ([Wallace et al. 2023](#)). The methods employed include height discharge relationships, the use of Acoustic Doppler Velocity Meters, velocity indexing techniques and tidal filtering instances. Methods for determining discharge based on upstream sources, including novel tidal filtering and a new application of a machine learning algorithm are also incorporated.

The selected method for each site is reported annually in the [Tahbil - Water Quality Data Portal](#) ([Water Quality & Investigations 2024](#)).

## Water quality sample analysis

The Science Division Chemistry Centre (Dutton Park, Queensland) analyses water samples for total suspended solids and nutrients (

Table 1). The Queensland Health Public and Environmental Health Reference Laboratory (Coopers Plains, Queensland) analyses water samples for pesticides (Table 2). Both laboratories are accredited by the National Association of Testing Authorities for the analyses conducted.

---

<sup>1</sup> Time and flow factors adjust the flow by adding a time delay due to travel time from the upstream gauging station to the water quality sampling site, and to account for the change in discharge between the upstream gauging station and the end of catchment site due to differences in catchment area.

Table 1: Summary information for each reported analyte in the catchment monitoring program

| Reported pollutants               | Abbreviation       | Measured analytes                               |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Sediment (Total suspended solids) | TSS                | Total suspended solids                          |
| Total nitrogen                    | TN                 | Total nitrogen as N                             |
| Particulate nitrogen              | PN                 | Total nitrogen (suspended) as N                 |
| Dissolved organic nitrogen        | DON                | Organic nitrogen (dissolved) as N               |
| Ammonium nitrogen as N            | NH <sub>4</sub> -N | Ammonium nitrogen as N                          |
| Oxidised nitrogen as N            | NO <sub>x</sub> -N | Oxidised nitrogen as N                          |
| Dissolved inorganic nitrogen      | DIN                | Ammonium nitrogen as N + Oxidised nitrogen as N |
| Total phosphorus                  | TP                 | Total phosphorus as P                           |
| Particulate phosphorus            | PP                 | Total phosphorus (suspended) as P               |
| Dissolved organic phosphorus      | DOP                | Organic phosphorus (dissolved) as P             |
| Dissolved inorganic phosphorus    | DIP                | Phosphate phosphorus as P                       |

Pesticide monitoring and reporting differs from nutrients and suspended solids due to the large range of pesticides used in agriculture and the variation in their use from one year to the next. For this reason, water samples are analysed for a general suite of pesticides. However, not all pesticides that are detected are reported each year. Other detected and non-detected pesticides are available in the [Pesticide Reporting Portal \(Water Quality & Investigations 2020\)](#) and the [Tahbil - Water Quality Data Portal \(Water Quality & Investigations 2024\)](#). A sub-set of pesticides, referred to as the *reference pesticides*, were used to measure and compare the Pesticide Risk Condition of catchments, basins and the whole Great Barrier Reef catchment area against the Pesticide Risk Baseline and Reef 2050 pesticide target<sup>2</sup>. The reference pesticides (**Error! Reference source not found.**) were selected based on the frequency of detection in catchments, the availability of ecotoxicity data for individual pesticides as an indicator of risk, and the scope to model application and run-off of chemicals using Source Catchment models. The reference pesticides include herbicides and insecticides used in a range of agricultural land uses, including sugarcane, grazing, cropping and horticulture.

---

<sup>2</sup> Note: The pesticide target encompasses all pesticides in GBR water bodies. All possible measures are taken to include as many pesticides in the metric to measure progress towards the target; however, measuring and modelling progress is reliant on other data (e.g. ecotoxicity and application data) not just concentration information, which is not available for all pesticides detected in catchments. For this reason, not all pesticides are included in the metric to measure progress towards the target. The number and types of pesticides included in the metric will expand over time as new data are collected.

*Table 2: Pesticides included in Pesticide Risk Metric (not all of the listed pesticides were necessarily detected in collected water samples)*

| Reference pesticide | Pesticide type | Mode of action                                                |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chlorpyrifos        | Insecticide    | Acetylcholine esterase (AChE) inhibitor                       |
| Fipronil            | Insecticide    | Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) gated chloride channel blocker |
| Imidacloprid        | Insecticide    | Nicotinic receptor agonist                                    |
| Haloxypop           | Herbicide      | Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase (ACCase) inhibitor              |
| Imazapic            | Herbicide      | Acetolactate synthase (ALS) inhibitor                         |
| Metsulfuron-methyl  | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Pendimethalin       | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Metolachlor         | Herbicide      | Very long chain fatty acid (VLCFA) inhibitor                  |
| Ametryn             | Herbicide      | Photosystem II inhibitor                                      |
| Atrazine            | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Terbuthylazine      | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Tebuthiuron         | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Simazine            | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Diuron              | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Terbutryn           | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Hexazinone          | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Metribuzin          | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| 2,4-D               | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| MCPA                | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Fluroxypyr          | Herbicide      | Auxin mimic (Pyridine-carboxylic acid auxins)                 |
| Triclopyr           | Herbicide      |                                                               |
| Isoxaflutole        | Herbicide      | 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (4-HPPD) inhibitor        |

## Calculating nutrient and sediment loads

The suitability of the generated water quality monitoring data for use in load calculations was assessed using a sample representivity rating. The annual rating of sampling representivity was assessed against two criteria:

- the number of samples collected in the top five per cent of annual monitored flow
- the ratio between the highest flow rate at which a water sample was collected and the maximum flow rate recorded.

The representivity was determined for each monitoring year by assigning a score using the system presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Scores assigned to total suspended solids and nutrients data to determine their representivity

| Number of samples in top 5% of flow | Score | Ratio of highest flow sampled to maximum flow recorded | Score |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| 0 – 9                               | 1     | 0.00 – 0.19                                            | 1     |
| 10 – 19                             | 2     | 0.20 – 0.39                                            | 2     |
| 20 – 29                             | 3     | 0.40 – 0.59                                            | 3     |
| 30 – 39                             | 4     | 0.60 – 0.79                                            | 4     |
| >40                                 | 5     | >0.80                                                  | 5     |

The rating of sample representivity for each analyte was the sum of the scores for the two criteria. Sample representivity for each analyte was rated as ‘excellent’ when the total score was greater than or equal to eight, ‘good’ when the total score was six or seven, ‘moderate’ for total scores of four or five, or ‘indicative’ when the score was less than four. Furthermore, hydrographs and sample positioning were visually assessed to verify the representivity rating.

For nutrients and sediment, the concentration and flow data are used to determine the total load of each pollutant that is transported past the monitoring site in each catchment and sub-catchment. Annual and daily loads are calculated for total suspended solids and the nutrient analytes listed in Table 1, using the ReLo<sup>3</sup> loads calculation software developed by the Queensland Department of Environment, Tourism, Science and Innovation. The loads estimation models incorporated in the software are consistent with Water Quality Analyser 2.1.2.6 (eWater 2012). The total suspended solids and nutrient loads were calculated using concentrations reported in milligrams per litre (mg L<sup>-1</sup>).

One of two methods was used to calculate loads: the average load (linear interpolation of concentration) or the Beale ratio. Average load (linear interpolation of concentration) is the most accurate and reliable method, provided events are adequately sampled, with a representivity rating of excellent. For complex events or events with a representivity rating of good, moderate or indicative, the Beale ratio is one of the recommended methods (loo et al. 2012).

## Calculating the Pesticide Risk Metric

The Pesticide Risk Metric estimates the percentage of species protected from mixtures of pesticides detected during a standardised wet season. This period is typically when the vast majority of rain occurs and therefore the greatest probability that pesticides will be transported, either as soluble or bound forms, to waterways and their associated aquatic ecosystems. The wet season was defined as the six-month period (182 days) following the first flush in each monitored waterway.

The Pesticide Risk Metric was calculated from the monitored concentration data for the 22 reference pesticides (**Error! Reference source not found.**), and forms the basis of pesticide reporting in the Great Barrier Reef Catchment Loads Monitoring Program Condition Report and the Pesticide Risk Condition for the Reef Water Quality Report Card 2023–2025. More details on the calculation of Pesticide Risk Baseline, and Pesticide Risk Condition are provided in the next section. Details of all the methods involved in the calculation of the Pesticide Risk Metric, Pesticide Risk Baseline and Pesticide Risk Condition are provided in Warne et al. (2020a), Warne et al. (2020b) and Neelamraju et al. (2021). A brief overview of the principal components of the Pesticide Risk Metric is provided below.

The 22 reference pesticides have multiple different modes of action (**Error! Reference source not found.**). The toxicity of pesticides with different modes of action was calculated using the independent action model of joint action (Plackett and Hewlett 1952) within the multiple-substance-potentially affected fraction (ms-PAF) method

<sup>3</sup> ReLo is a software program developed by the Queensland Department of Environment and Science. The loads estimation models incorporated in this software are consistent with Water Quality Analyser 2.1.2.6 (eWater 2012). ReLo allows the batch processing of load calculations, a function that is not currently available within Water Quality Analyser (eWater 2012).

(Traas et al. 2002). The pesticide mixture toxicity was calculated for all samples collected over the wet season. Where there was more than one sample per day, a daily mean pesticide mixture toxicity value was calculated.

In order to express the concentration data for all 22 reference pesticides as a single number that represents the wet season pesticide risk to aquatic ecosystems, the mixture toxicity data (i.e. Pesticide Risk Metric values) for all water samples collected over the wet season were then summarised as a single value. This required estimating the daily average per cent of species affected for days that were not monitored during the wet season using a multiple imputation technique (Rubin 1996; Donders et al. 2006; Patrician 2002). This involved fitting a statistical distribution to the observed data for the wet season for the site. This distribution was then used to impute values to fill in the missing days in the 182-day period. The application of the Multiple Imputation method assumes that the distribution of sampling over the wet season encompasses the variability of pesticide concentrations over the wet season. This was usually ensured by having a good balance between ambient samples and event samples. Where that balance of ambient and event samples could not be achieved, then a decision was made about whether the calculated Pesticide Risk Metric was a reliable estimate of the wet season risk<sup>4</sup>. The resultant 182 days of data were then divided by 182 to obtain the annual wet season Pesticide Risk Metric and ranked into five risk categories (**Error! Reference source not found.**). These categories were consistent with the ecological condition categories used in the [Australian and New Zealand Water Quality Guidelines \(ANZWQG\) for Fresh and Marine Waters \(ANZG 2018\)](#).

The Pesticide Risk Metric method was used to obtain pesticide risk values for four groups of pesticides - total pesticides (all 22 pesticides included in the Pesticide Risk Metric), insecticides, photosystem inhibiting (PSII) herbicides and other (non-PSII) herbicides.

Table 4: Risk categories used to assess pesticide risk

| Pesticide Risk Metric value |                     | Risk category | Ecological condition<br>(ANZWQG)                         |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| % species affected          | % species protected |               |                                                          |
| ≤1%                         | ≥99%                | Very low      | High Ecological Value                                    |
| >1 to 5%                    | 95 to <99%          | Low           | Slightly to Moderately Disturbed<br><br>Highly Disturbed |
| >5 to 10%                   | 90 to <95%          | Moderate      |                                                          |
| >10 to 20%                  | 80 to <90%          | High          |                                                          |
| >20%                        | <80%                | Very high     |                                                          |

## Reporting on pesticides for the report card

Pesticide monitoring data from the Great Barrier Reef Catchment Loads Monitoring Program were used to calculate the Pesticide Risk Metric (expressed as a per cent of species protected) at each monitoring site. These data were weighted according to the size of the sub-catchment compared to the catchment they belong to. The weighted values were then used to modify the per cent of species protected values from the Pesticide Risk Baseline (Warne et al. 2020b) to estimate the Pesticide Risk Condition of each catchment. The same approach was applied to the regions and the entire Great Barrier Reef Catchment Area. This method does not enable reporting of progress to the pesticide target, as the Pesticide Risk Condition values are affected by annual variations in climatic conditions. However, Pesticide Risk Condition can be used to assess distance from the Pesticide Risk Baseline as a proxy assessment of progress toward target. For the Reef Water Quality Report Card 2023–2025, the directional change in condition (i.e., decline, no change, or improved condition) between the three-year average for the current reporting period (2023–2025) and the pesticide risk baseline in 2016 is reported. Pesticide Risk Condition scores were not ranked into the five risk categories as was done in previous Reef Report Cards. The relative contribution of PSII herbicides, other herbicides and insecticides to the Pesticide

<sup>4</sup>In the 2022–2023, 2023–2024 and 2024–2025 monitoring years for the Calliope River basin and in the 2023–2024 and 2024–2025 monitoring years for the Mary River basin, a decision was made that the Current Pesticide Risk would not be calculated for Reef Water Quality Report Card because the sampling across the wet season was biased toward event monitoring, and therefore not reflective of the risk across the wet season.

Risk Metric values was also determined for each catchment. Details of all these calculations are provided in Neelamraju et al. (2021).

Once the Current Basin Condition values were obtained for PSII Herbicides, Other Herbicides and Insecticides, they were converted from per cent species protected to per cent species affected (i.e., 100% - % species protected). The Current Basin Condition (per cent species affected) values for PSII Herbicides, Other Herbicides and Insecticides were summed. The relative contribution for PSII Herbicides was calculated for each basin using Equation 1.

#### Equation 1:

$$\text{Relative contribution (PSII)} = 100 \times \left( \frac{\% \text{ affected}_{\text{PSII}}}{\sum \% \text{ affected all groups}} \right) \quad (1)$$

where % affected<sub>PSII</sub> is the estimated per cent of species affected by PSII Herbicides for a basin and  $\sum$  % affected all groups is the estimated sum of the per cent species affected by PSII Herbicides, Other Herbicides and Insecticides for the same basin.

The relative contribution of Other Herbicides (OH) and Insecticides (I) of each basin were calculated using equations 2 and 3, respectively.

#### Equation 2:

$$\text{Relative contribution (OH)} = 100 \times \left( \frac{\% \text{ affected}_{\text{OH}}}{\sum \% \text{ affected all groups}} \right) \quad (2)$$

#### Equation 3:

$$\text{Relative contribution (I)} = 100 \times \left( \frac{\% \text{ affected}_{\text{I}}}{\sum \% \text{ affected all groups}} \right) \quad (3)$$

where % affected<sub>OH</sub> and % affected<sub>I</sub> are the estimated per cent of species affected by Other Herbicides and Insecticides, respectively for a basin.

## Semiquantitative confidence rankings for reporting on pesticides

Data confidence ●●●○○

A multi-criteria analysis was used to score the confidence in each indicator used in the report card from low to high (Australian and Queensland governments 2020). The approach combined expert opinion and direct measures of error for program components where available.

The methods used to calculate Pesticide Risk Condition, and distance from the Pesticide Risk Baseline, received a three-dot confidence ranking. The rationale for this confidence ranking is provided below.

### Rationale for the confidence ranking

#### *Maturity of methods*

A score of 3 was awarded because the methods have now been thoroughly reviewed. This resulted in a final score of 1.5 when the 0.5 weighting factor was applied. The score of 1.5 applied to catchments, basins, regions and the GBRCA scales.

#### *Validation*

An overall score of 2 was awarded because the data used in the calculations have either been validated or are direct measurements from monitoring (analytical results), but the per cent of species protected have not been validated in the field.

### *Representativeness*

An overall score of 2 was awarded based on a representivity assessment for the predictions at the whole of the Great Barrier Reef scale. This value was awarded because the high-confidence monitoring data at the catchment scale was used to adjust values at the basin, region and whole of Great Barrier Reef levels.

### *Directness*

An overall score of 2 was awarded because there are a series of 4 quantified relationships between land use, hydrological and climatic variables with pesticide mixture toxicity. A higher score was not awarded as the per cent of species protected at the end of catchments is not directly measured.

### *Measurement error*

A score of 2 was awarded because some components do not have error quantified.

## References

- Australian and Queensland governments (2018), Reef 2050 Water Quality Improvement Plan 2017–2022, State of Queensland, Brisbane. Available from: <https://www.reefplan.qld.gov.au/water-quality-and-the-reef/the-plan>
- Australian and Queensland governments (2020), *Scoring system, Reef Water Quality Report Card 2019*, State of Queensland, Brisbane. Available from: <https://www.reefplan.qld.gov.au/tracking-progress/reef-report-card/scoring-system>
- ANZG (2018). *Australian and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality*. Australian and New Zealand Governments and Australian state and territory governments, Canberra, ACT, Australia.
- DES (2018). *Monitoring and Sampling Manual: Environmental Protection (Water) Policy*. Brisbane: Queensland Department of Environment and Science Government. Available from: <https://environment.qld.gov.au/management/water/quality-guidelines/sampling-manual>
- DLGWV (Department of Local Government, Water and Volunteers) (2023). Water Monitoring Information Portal: River discharge dataset (hourly-interpolated flow, m3 s-1), Surface Water Database, Queensland Government. Available: <https://water-monitoring.information.qld.gov.au/>.
- Donders, ART, Van Der Heijden, GJ, Stijnen, T, and Moons, KG 2006, 'A gentle introduction to imputation of missing values', *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, vol. 59(10), pp. 1087-1091.
- eWater Cooperative Research Centre 2012, *Water Quality Analyser (Version 2.1.2.6)* [computer software], eWater Cooperative Research Centre, Canberra, Australia.
- Joo, M, Raymond, MA, McNeil, VH, Huggins, R, Turner, RDR and Choy, S 2012, 'Estimates of sediment and nutrient loads in 10 major catchments draining to the Great Barrier Reef during 2006–2009', *Marine pollution bulletin*, vol. 65 (4–9), pp. 150–166.
- Neelamraju C, Warne MStJ, Turner RDR, Mann RM (2021), *The Current Pesticide Risk Condition (2019/2020) of Waterways that Discharge to the Great Barrier Reef: Reef 2050 Water Quality Improvement Plan*. Brisbane: Department of Environment and Science, Queensland Government.
- Patrician, PA 2002, 'Multiple imputation for missing data', *Research in nursing and health*, vol. 25(1), pp. 76-84.
- Plackett, RL and Hewlett, PS 1952, 'Quantal responses to mixtures of poisons', *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, vol. 14(2), pp. 141-154.
- Rubin, DB 1996, 'Multiple imputation after 18+ years', *Journal of the American statistical Association*, vol. 91(434), pp. 473-489.
- Traas, TP, van de Meent, D, Posthuma, L, Hamers, T, Kater, BJ, de Zwart, D, and Aldenberg, T 2002, 'The potentially affected fraction as a measure of ecological risk', In *Species sensitivity distributions in ecotoxicology*, pp. 315-344.
- Wallace, S.J., Ferguson, B.J., Livsey, D. (2023). *Calculation of Discharge in Estuaries: Current State and Advancements*. Brisbane: Department of Environment and Science, Queensland Government. Available: <https://www.publications.qld.gov.au/ckan-publications-attachments-prod/resources/39afbc93-326c-456b-a2dd-7df993c4dcf1/calculation-of-discharge-in-estuaries.pdf?ETag=0b83da3ebab3155c10c5a8707f2d07fb>
- Warne, MStJ, Neelamraju, C, Strauss, J, Smith, RA, Turner, RDR, Mann, RM 2020a, *Development of a Method for Estimating the Toxicity of Pesticide Mixtures and a Pesticide Risk Baseline for the Reef 2050 Water Quality Improvement Plan*, Department of Environment and Science, Brisbane, Australia. Available at <https://www.publications.qld.gov.au/dataset/method-development-pesticide-risk-metric-baseline-condition-of-waterways-to-gbr>
- Warne MStJ, Neelamraju C, Turner RDR, Mann RM. 2020b. Determining the Current Pesticide Condition of Waterways that Discharge to the Great Barrier Reef: Reef 2050 Water Quality Improvement Plan. Brisbane: Queensland Department of Environment and Science, Queensland Government. Available from: <https://www.publications.qld.gov.au/dataset/method-development-pesticide-risk-metric-baselinecondition-of-waterways-to-gbr/resource/89071795-6d2d-4f76-8778-a619b867e886>

Water Quality & Investigations (2020) *Catchment Loads Monitoring Program Pesticide Reporting Portal (Updated May 2024)*, Department of the Environment, Tourism, Science and Innovation, Queensland Government, Brisbane, Australia. <https://pesticidereportingportal.des.qld.gov.au/>

Water Quality & Investigations (2024). *Tahbil - Water Quality Data Portal*. [website]. Queensland Government, Brisbane. <https://apps.des.qld.gov.au/water-data-portal/>